

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,431	04/03/2001	Stuart D. Baker	209.1001	2071
7590 12/13/2007 DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC 485 Seventh Avenue, 14th Floor			EXAMINER	
			SINGH, RACHNA	
New York, NY 10018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/825,431	BAKER ET AL.
Exammer-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Doug Hutton	2176
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Doug Hutton</u> .	(3)	
(2) Oleg loselevich.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 December 2007	Time: <u>2:15 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Not applicable		
Claims discussed: Not applicable		
Prior art documents discussed:  Not applicable		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
·	•	·
Total >		
(Examiner/SPID Separative) TON (Applicant)	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Office Action dated 9/14/2007 contained a typographic error in the attached Office Action Summary indicating that the action is "non-final." As indicated on Page 11 of the Office Action, the examiner intended to make the Office Action a FINAL REJECTION. Doug Hutton, the Supervisory Patent Examiner of the examiner for this application, stated to Applicant that he should respond to the Office Action as a Final Rejection. Doug Hutton also stated that the proper corrections would be made at the USPTO to indicate the Office Action as being a Final Rejection.

For the record, Applicant does not accede to the positions taken by the USPTO and reserves the right to challenge those positions.